Part IA – Technical Proposal	
RFP Title:	Nevada School Funding Consultant
RFP:	3489
Vendor Name:	EdBuild, Inc.
Address:	140 Bay Street, Suite 2, Jersey City, NJ 07302
Opening Date:	October 10, 2017 (originally Sept 29, 2017)
Opening Time:	2:00 PM

1.1.1.1 Section II – Table of Contents

Section III – Vendor Information Sheet ***3***

Section IV- State Documents ***4***

Section V – Scope of Work ***8***

Section VI – Company Background and References ***10***

Section VII – Staff Resumes ***15***

1.1.1.2 Section III – Vendor Information Sheet

V1	Company Name	***EdBuild, Inc.***

V2	Street Address	***140 Bay Street, Suite 2***

V3	City, State, ZIP	***Jersey City, NJ, 07302***

V4	Telephone Number		
	Area Code: ***201***	Number: ***685-7884***	Extension:

V5	Facsimile Number		
	Area Code:	Number:	Extension:

V6	Toll Free Number		
	Area Code:	Number:	Extension:

V7	***Contact Person for Questions / Contract Negotiations, including address if different than above***
	Name: ***Austin Ray***
	Title: ***Chief of Staff***
	Address:
	Email Address: ***operations@edbuild.org***

V8	Telephone Number for Contact Person		
	Area Code: ***201***	Number: ***685-7884***	Extension:

V9	Facsimile Number for Contact Person		
	Area Code:	Number:	Extension:

V10	***Name of Individual Authorized to Bind the Organization***	
	Name: ***Austin Ray***	Title: ***Chief of Staff***

V11	Signature ***(Individual shall be legally authorized to bind the vendor per NRS 333.337)***	
	Signature:	Date: ***10.2.2017***

1.1.1.3 Section IV – State Documents

The State documents section shall include the following:

A. The signature page from all amendments signed by an individual authorized to bind the organization.

Please find attached on the following page.

B. Attachment A – Confidentiality and Certification of Indemnification signed by an individual authorized to bind the organization.

Please find Attachment A – Confidentiality and Certification of Indemnification on page 16.

C. Attachment B – Vendor Certifications signed by an individual authorized to bind the organization.

Please find Attachment B – Vendor Certifications on page 17.

D. Copies of any vendor licensing agreements and/or hardware and software maintenance agreements.

N/A

E. Copies of applicable certifications and/or licenses.

N/A

State of Nevada
Department Administration
Purchasing Division
515 E. Musser Street, Suite 300
Carson City, NV 89701

Brian Sandoval
Governor

Jeffrey Haag
Administrator

2.

SUBJECT:	Amendment 1to Request for Proposal 3489
RFP TITLE:	**NEVADA SCHOOL FUNDING CONSULTANT**
DATE OF AMENDMENT:	September 21, 2017
DATE OF RFP RELEASE:	September 5, 2017
OPENING DATE:	~~September 29, 2017~~ October 10, 2017
OPENING TIME:	2:00 PM
CONTACT:	Gail Burchett, Purchasing Officer II

The following shall be a part of RFP ***3489.*** If a vendor has already returned a proposal and any of the information provided below changes that proposal, please submit the changes along with this amendment. You need not re-submit an entire proposal prior to the opening date and time.

---

1. On page 13, section 8.2.2.4 section A asks for "A. The signature page from all amendments signed by an individual authorized to bind the organization". I don't see where there would be amendments to the proposal. Could this be signature pages from sub-contractors?

   The amendment referred to is this questions and answers document. You will need to submit the signature page below as part of your proposal.

2. The Scope of Work, section 2.1.5, mentions "gifted and talented pupils," as defined in NRS 388.5231. Yet, NRS 388.5231 is about the transportation of person with disability. Is the use of NRS 388.5231 correct?

The definition of "gifted and talented pupils" is found in NRS 388.5251.

NRS 388.5251 Definitions. As used in NRS 388.5251 to 388.5267, inclusive, "gifted and talented pupil" means a person under the age of 18 years who demonstrates such outstanding academic skills or aptitudes that the person cannot progress effectively in a regular school program and therefore needs special instruction or special services.
[280:32:1956] — (NRS A 1969, 444; 1973, 1427, 1579, 1846; 1989, 691; 1993, 2159; 1999, 3241; 2007, 2919; 2009, 754; 2011, 800, 2705, 2715; 2013, 743) — (Substituted in revision for part of NRS 388.440)

3. Can you please confirm the submission date for the Reference Questionnaire.

   All reference questionnaires should be submitted no later than September 28, 2017 @ 4:30 PM.

4. Section 2.1: The "Scope of Work" outlined in Request for Proposal: 3489 references both a report entitled "Study of a New Method of Funding for Public Schools in Nevada" and Legislative Counsel Bureau (LCB) Bulletin No. 15-5, "Interim Study Report of the Task Force on K-12 Public Education Funding." Does the Legislative Committee on Education expect the consultant to provide a comprehensive "costing-out" study of the calculations determined in those reports? Should the deliverable related to task 2.1.1 be similar to the review of the "Study of a New Method of Funding for Public Schools in Nevada" that appears in LCB Bulletin 15-5? Is the deliverable for task 2.1.2 to recalculate all the figures in the report and bulletin? Or, is the deliverable to consider the information provided therein with perspective focusing on the determination and implementation of the costs associated with different student populations?

   Does the Legislative Committee on Education expect the consultant to provide a comprehensive "costing-out" study of the calculations determined in those reports?

   A traditional "costing-out" study is beyond the funded scope of this RFP. That said, Nevada implemented a number of programs funding specific services for English language learners and schools serving high concentrations of poverty (Zoom and Victory, respectively). Therefore, there exists data and information regarding the cost and efficacy of services which should be included in any comprehensive review of Nevada school funding.

   Should the deliverable related to task 2.1.1 be similar to the review of the "Study of a New Method of Funding for Public Schools in Nevada" that appears in LCB Bulletin 15-5?

   The preliminary report required on or before August 1, 2018 may be similar to LCB Bulletin 15-5 or other format deemed appropriate by the contractor.

   Is the deliverable for task 2.1.2 to recalculate all the figures in the report and bulletin? Or, is the deliverable to consider the information provided therein with perspective focusing on the determination and implementation of the costs associated with different student populations?

Nevada has been working to modernize the funding formula through the implementation of student "weights". The successful contractor will review the work from 2012 to the present and be required to bring recommendations informed by the progress made since the publication of LCB Bulletin 15-5 and the national literature.

5. The opening date of this proposal (deadline) has been extended to October 10, 2017 @ 2:00 PM.

ALL ELSE REMAINS THE SAME FOR RFP 3489.

Vendor must sign and return this amendment with proposal submitted.

Vendor Name: EdBuild Inc.

Authorized Signature: [signature]

Title: CEO Date: 10.2.2017

This document must be submitted in the "State Documents" section of vendors' technical proposal.

2.1.1.1 Section V – Scope of Work

Vendors shall place their written response(s) to ***Section 2, Scope of Work*** in ***bold/italics*** immediately following the applicable RFP question, statement and/or section.

2.1.1 Conduct a review of the report entitled "Study of a New Method of Funding for Public Schools in Nevada," published by the American Institutes for Research on September 22, 2012, Attachment I and LCB Bulletin No. 15-5, "Interim Study Report of the Task Force on K-12 Public Education Funding", Attachment H.

EdBuild will conduct a thorough review of "Study of a New Method of Funding for Public Schools in Nevada," published by the American Institutes for Research on September 22, 2012.

The report is currently organized under six sections: (1) Overview and History of Nevada's funding formula, (2) National Trends around education funding, (3) Identification and classification of peer states, (4) Alternative methods for funding schools in Nevada, (5) Simulations and models of a new funding formula, and finally (6) Recommendations and Concluding thoughts.

EdBuild will update the history of Nevada's funding formula to include updates in legislation since the study was written in 2012. EdBuild's team of policy analysts have already collected and analyzed a history of school funding for every state in the country. This will enable our team to quickly write a concise legislative history of Nevada for the past 5 years, and put those change within the national context.

Additionally, EdBuild's policy analysts have also collected information regarding how every state funds public schools, which can be viewed by the public at http://funded.edbuild.org. This pre-work will therefore allow EdBuild to update part (2) of the report in a very timely fashion.

Moreover, EdBuild has a robust collection of national education data, from 1995 to 2015. This large dataset ensures that EdBuild will quickly be able to determine whether the peer states identified in the study are still relevant, how peer states have shifted over time, and whether new peer states are worth identifying and studying further.

EdBuild will update all data in the report and assess whether or not the report's findings still match the unique needs of the state. EdBuild will look at the shifting demographics and revenue trends in the state since the original study was published, identify whether

or not the assumptions made in the report need to be updated, and will update assumptions and findings accordingly. EdBuild will also examine and refine the report's methodology, to ensure that future findings can lead to actionable policy solutions for the legislature.

EdBuild's approach to this work is unique among our competitors. Our team of data analysts will deliver a modeling tool so that the legislature and Dept. of Education can model new funding solutions, and see in real time the effects on school districts of moving to different weights and funding streams. This tool will accompany EdBuild's final recommendations, and will provide the State with a method for ensuring any policy solution they choose is targeting the intended students, while simultaneously providing a tool to estimate and budget for year-over-year appropriations.

As a 501c3 working solely on school finance research, EdBuild brings a uniquely focused perspective to this work. Our team is dedicated to bringing equitable and actionable school funding policies and recommendations to states across the country, and will never propose unrealistic increases in spending or drastic shifts in appropriations. Rather, EdBuild prides itself on providing policy solutions that are aligned with the priorities of the states in which we work, while still working within existing fiscal constraints. Since our launch in November of 2014 EdBuild has worked with legislatures and partners in 3 states.

2.1.2 Update the report and bulletin identified in paragraph (1) with more current information, focusing on the determination and implementation of the appropriate funding adjustments for the additional costs associated with serving low-income pupils and English learners, as defined in 20 U.S.C. § 7801(20).

EdBuild will examine historic student demographic trends and determine where low-income students are concentrated in the state, using (i) the definitions in the report outlined above, (ii) free and reduced price lunch, (iii) US Census poverty estimates, and (iv) a state specific wealth measure unique to Nevada. EdBuild will also examine which schools and districts within Nevada are participating in the USDA's Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) – which in some instances may skew numbers of reported Free and Reduced Price Lunch students. This detailed analysis will allow EdBuild, the Department of Education, and the legislative committee to determine a more accurate method for counting low-income pupils.

Similarly, EdBuild will examine historic demographic trends for English Language Learners, and determine to what extent English Language Learners and low-income students have overlapped enrollments. EdBuild will study the method(s) for classifying English Language Learners and ensure no perverse incentives exist in current policy.

EdBuild will benchmark our findings to national and regional best practices. Combining this information with cost factors outlined in the report, EdBuild will present the committee with a set of recommendations for determining the appropriate funding adjustments for low-income pupils and English language learners.

2.1.3 Review the meaning of the term "pupils who are at-risk," as defined in NRS 387.121, to establish an appropriate definition of the term and recommend appropriate funding adjustments for the additional costs associated with serving such pupils.

EdBuild will review the meaning of the term "pupils who are at-risk" and determine whether the policy outlined in NRS 387.121 is still meeting the needs of the intended puplis. EdBuild will look at national and regional best practices regarding funding at-risk students to make recommendations for the legislature. EdBuild will examine national and regional best practices for defining "at-risk" pupils, and will identify common services that "at-risk" students are provided. From these, EdBuild will recommend an appropriate weight for the legislature.

2.1.4 Review the multiplier to the basic support guarantee per pupil for pupils with disabilities pursuant to NRS 387.122.

EdBuild will review the multiplier to the basic support guarantee per pupil for pupils with disabilities and determine whether this multiplier is still sufficient given the changing demographics and revenue streams in the State. EdBuild will also examine whether or not the current multiplier is differentiated enough to effectively cover the cost-factors associated with students of varying disabilities, or whether it makes sense to differentiate the multiplier by disability type.

2.1.5 Review the meaning of the term "gifted and talented pupils," as defined in NRS 388.5231, to establish an appropriate definition for the term and recommend a consistent statewide standard to identify such pupils.

EdBuild will review the meaning of the term "gifted and talented pupils" as defined in NRS 388.5231. EdBuild will work with the Department of Education and legislative committee to identify outcome priorities for the gifted and talented program, and use that information to determine whether the current definition for "gifted and talented pupils" still reflects the interests of the state.

EdBuild will also prepare a review of regional and national best practices when counting gifted and talented pupils, and work with the Department of Education to help bring Nevada's definition of "gifted and talented pupils" to national best standards.

2.1.6 Make recommendations for the implementation of the findings of the independent consultant pursuant to Objectives (2.1.1) to (2.1.5), inclusive.

EdBuild is a politically astute organization, and prides itself in it's ability to present recommendations that are immediately actionable. EdBuild will leave the legislative committee with a detailed plan for implementing new policies, and will leave the Department of Education and the legislature with a custom-built data tool for determining district allocations with a sensitivity to availability of funds and trends in

student populations. This tool will streamline the Department's planning process, and allow for a smooth transition to a new funding method for public schools in the state.

2.1.1.2 Section VI– Company Background and References

Vendors shall place their written response(s) to ***Section 3, Company Background and References*** in ***bold/italics*** immediately following the applicable RFP question, statement and/or section. This section shall also include the requested information in ***Section 3.2, Subcontractor Information***, if applicable.

3.1.1 Vendors shall provide a company profile in the table format below.

Question	Response
Company name:	***EdBuild, Inc.***
Ownership (sole proprietor, partnership, etc.):	***501c3 Nonprofit***
State of incorporation:	***New Jersey***
Date of incorporation:	***June 2, 2014***
# of years in business:	***3***
List of top officers:	***Rebecca Sibilia, CEO***
Location of company headquarters, to include City and State:	***140 Bay Street, Suite 2, Jersey City, NJ 07302***
Location(s) of the office that shall provide the services described in this RFP:	***140 Bay Street, Suite 2, Jersey City, NJ 07302***
Number of employees locally with the expertise to support the requirements identified in this RFP:	***N/A***
Number of employees nationally with the expertise to support the requirements in this RFP:	***7.***
Location(s) from which employees shall be assigned for this project:	***Jersey City, NJ.***

3.1.3 Please be advised, pursuant to NRS 80.010, a corporation organized pursuant to the laws of another state shall register with the State of Nevada, Secretary of State's Office as a foreign corporation before a contract can be executed between the State of Nevada and the awarded vendor, unless specifically exempted by NRS 80.015.

3.1.4 The selected vendor, prior to doing business in the State of Nevada, shall be appropriately licensed by the State of Nevada, Secretary of State's Office pursuant to NRS76. Information regarding the Nevada Business License can be located at http://nvsos.gov.

Question	Response
Nevada Business License Number:	***N/A***
Legal Entity Name:	***EdBuild, Inc.***

Should EdBuild be chosen for this work, EdBuild will register for a Nevada Business License prior to executing a contract and doing business in the State.

Is "Legal Entity Name" the same name as vendor is doing business as?

Yes	***X***	No	

If "No", provide explanation.

3.1.5 Has the vendor ever been engaged under contract by any State of Nevada agency?

Yes		No	***X***

3.1.6 Are you now or have you been within the last two (2) years an employee of the State of Nevada, or any of its agencies, departments, or divisions?

Yes		No	***X***

3.1.7 Disclosure of any significant prior or ongoing contract failures, contract breaches, civil or criminal litigation in which the vendor has been alleged to be liable or held liable in a matter involving a contract with the State of Nevada or any other governmental entity. Any pending claim or litigation occurring within the past six (6) years which may adversely affect the vendor's ability to perform or fulfill its obligations if a contract is awarded as a result of this RFP shall also be disclosed.

Does any of the above apply to your company?

Yes		No	***X***

3.1.8 Vendors shall review and provide if awarded a contract the insurance requirements as specified in Attachment D, Insurance Schedule for RFP 3489.

3.1.9 Company background/history and why vendor is qualified to provide the services described in this RFP. Limit response to no more than five (5) pages.

EdBuild is a nonpartisan 501c3 non profit. EdBuild launched in 2014 with a mission to bring common sense and fairness to the way states fund public schools, thereby allowing schools to innovate and better serve their students and communities. Our vision is a public funding system that provides resources to schools based on the needs of their students, and funds equitably regardless of school governance or delivery model, and considers a community's ability to pay as a central focus in achieving equity.

In service to our mission, EdBuild conducts independent research on school finance formulas across the country, and engages directly with local stakeholders to analyze and develop new state funding models.

EdBuild's national perspective and large national data set make us uniquely qualified to complete this work. Our data-rich engagement model is unique in the education sector, and has been successful in a variety of states, including Connecticut, Georgia, and Mississippi. When approaching our work, we offer a wide array of support – from data and policy analysis to modeling tools and recommendations. We have provided past clients with:

a) Rigorous data and policy analysis to identify the problems in the current state funding model and offer solutions related to new ways of allocating funds;

b) Dynamic and interactive modeling tools that will allow decision-makers to understand in real time the fiscal impact and programmatic and policy outcomes of a new weighted student formula; and

c) Recommendations related to governmental and programmatic implementation.

Our analytical support ranges from policy comparisons and recommendations to data analysis and visualizations. For past clients, we've assisted in helping stakeholders consider more targeted measures for student and community economic distress, crosswalk migration from a staff-based to student-based formula, and develop new student counts associated with the definition of "rurality". We've also assisted in considering new ways to define fair and equitable local funding contributions to schools.

EdBuild is intentionally structured as a politically-astute, 501c3 nonprofit, which brings a unique advantage to the state of Nevada. EdBuild has compiled a staff of data and policy experts with experience at the district and state level, and who come from both academia and the classroom trenches. The diverse background of our team brings a unique capacity to the education finance landscape, and allows our work to be both research-oriented and pragmatically actionable. As a result, we work hand-in-hand with stakeholders to analyze issues specific to our client states, and develop solutions that balance budget limitations with policy priority.

3.1.10 Provide a brief description of the length of time vendor has been providing services described in this RFP to the public and/or private sector.

EdBuild has been providing services similar to those described in the RFP to the public sector for the past three years.

In 2015, EdBuild provided data and policy support to advocates in Connecticut, to identify ways that the state could quickly implement new funding solutions as a judge heard arguments in an ongoing school finance court case.

In 2015, EdBuild worked with the Governor's office in Georgia to help the Education Finance Subcommittee of the Legislative Education Reform Commission identify new funding solutions for Georgia's schools. EdBuild's recommendations were unanimously approved by the legislative committee.

In 2016, EdBuild was contacted by the Lt. Governor's office in Mississippi to assist legislative leadership in evaluating and fundamentally rewriting the state's funding formula. EdBuild engaged with the legislature from October of 2016 through January of 2017, and left the legislative leadership with a series of policy recommendations and an actionable plan for implementation.

3.1.11 Financial information and documentation to be included in accordance with Section 8.5, Part III – Confidential Financial Information.

3.1.11.1 Dun and Bradstreet Number

N/A

3.1.11.2 Federal Tax Identification Number

47-1019692

3.1.11.3 The last two (2) years and current year interim:

A. Profit and Loss Statement
B. Balance Statement

Please see attached.

3.2 SUBCONTRACTOR INFORMATION

Subcontractors are defined as a third party, not directly employed by the contractor, who shall provide services identified in this RFP. This does not include third parties who provide support or incidental services to the contractor.

3.2.1 Does this proposal include the use of subcontractors?

Yes		No	***X***

3.3 BUSINESS REFERENCES

3.3.1 Vendors shall provide a minimum of three (3) business references from similar projects performed for private and/or public sector clients within the last three (3) years.

3.3.2 Vendors shall submit Attachment E, Reference Questionnaire to their business references.

Reference Questionnaires were submitted to the following individuals:

Erin Hames, Former Deputy Chief of Staff to Governor Nathan Deal
Erin@reformED.onmicrosoft.com

Erika Berry, Director of External Affairs at Republic Schools
601-941-0844

Jen Alexander, CEO of Connecticut Coalition for Achievement Now (ConnCAN)

All references were submitted via email to rfpdocs@admin.nv.gov

3.3.3 It is the vendor's responsibility to ensure that completed forms are received by the Purchasing Division on or before the deadline as specified in Section 7, RFP Timeline for inclusion in the evaluation process. Reference Questionnaires not received, or not complete, may adversely affect the vendor's score in the evaluation process.

3.3.4 The State reserves the right to contact and verify any and all references listed regarding the quality and degree of satisfaction for such performance.

3.4 VENDOR STAFF RESUMES

A resume shall be completed for each proposed key personnel responsible for performance under any contract resulting from this RFP per Attachment F, Proposed Staff Resume.

2.1.1.3 Section VII – Attachment F – Proposed Staff Resume

A. Vendors shall include all proposed staff resumes per ***Section 3.4, Vendor Staff Resumes*** in this section.

B. This section shall also include any subcontractor proposed staff resumes, if applicable.

Please see Section 1 in Part 1B – Confidential Technical Proposal

2.1.1.4 Section VIII – Other Informational Material

Vendors shall include any other applicable reference material in this section clearly cross referenced with the proposal.

ATTACHMENT A – CONFIDENTIALITY AND CERTIFICATION OF INDEMNIFICATION

Submitted proposals, which are marked "confidential" in their entirety, or those in which a significant portion of the submitted proposal is marked "confidential" **shall not** be accepted by the State of Nevada. Pursuant to NRS 333.333, only specific parts of the proposal may be labeled a "trade secret" as defined in NRS 600A.030(5). All proposals are confidential until the contract is awarded; at which time, both successful and unsuccessful vendors' technical and cost proposals become public information.

In accordance with the submittal instructions of this RFP, vendors are requested to submit confidential information in separate files marked "**Part IB Confidential Technical**" and "**Part III Confidential Financial**".

The State shall not be responsible for any information contained within the proposal. If vendors do not comply with the labeling and packing requirements, proposals shall be released as submitted. In the event a governing board acts as the final authority, there may be public discussion regarding the submitted proposals that shall be in an open meeting format, the proposals shall remain confidential.

By signing below, I understand it is my responsibility as the vendor to act in protection of the labeled information and agree to defend and indemnify the State of Nevada for honoring such designation. I duly realize failure to so act shall constitute a complete waiver and all submitted information shall become public information; additionally, failure to label any information that is released by the State shall constitute a complete waiver of any and all claims for damages caused by the release of the information.

This proposal contains Confidential Information, Trade Secrets and/or Proprietary information.

Please initial the appropriate response in the boxes below and provide the justification for confidential status.

Part IB – Confidential Technical Information			
YES	X	NO	
Justification for Confidential Status			
We are requesting that vendor resumes be kept confidential, as contact information for references is			
personal, and some references have requested their contact information not be made public.			

Part III – Confidential Financial Information			
YES		NO	X
Justification for Confidential Status			

EdBuild, Inc.
Company Name

Austin Ray
Signature

Austin Ray
Print Name

10.2.2017
Date

This document shall be submitted in Section IV of vendor's technical proposal

ATTACHMENT B – VENDOR CERTIFICATIONS

Vendor agrees and shall comply with the following:

(1) Any and all prices that may be charged under the terms of the contract do not and shall not violate any existing federal, State or municipal laws or regulations concerning discrimination and/or price fixing. The vendor agrees to indemnify, exonerate and hold the State harmless from liability for any such violation now and throughout the term of the contract.

(2) All proposed capabilities can be demonstrated by the vendor.

(3) The price(s) and amount of this proposal have been arrived at independently and without consultation, communication, agreement or disclosure with or to any other contractor, vendor or potential vendor.

(4) All proposal terms, including prices, shall remain in effect for a minimum of 180 days after the proposal due date. In the case of the awarded vendor, all proposal terms, including prices, shall remain in effect throughout the contract negotiation process.

(5) No attempt has been made at any time to induce any firm or person to refrain from proposing or to submit a proposal higher than this proposal, or to submit any intentionally high or noncompetitive proposal. All proposals shall be made in good faith and without collusion.

(6) All conditions and provisions of this RFP are deemed to be accepted by the vendor and incorporated by reference in the proposal, except such conditions and provisions that the vendor expressly excludes in the proposal. Any exclusion shall be in writing and included in the proposal at the time of submission.

(7) Each vendor shall disclose any existing or potential conflict of interest relative to the performance of the contractual services resulting from this RFP. Any such relationship that might be perceived or represented as a conflict shall be disclosed. By submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, vendors affirm that they have not given, nor intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor, or service to a public servant or any employee or representative of same, in connection with this procurement. Any attempt to intentionally or unintentionally conceal or obfuscate a conflict of interest shall automatically result in the disqualification of a vendor's proposal. An award shall not be made where a conflict of interest exists. The State shall determine whether a conflict of interest exists and whether it may reflect negatively on the State's selection of a vendor. The State reserves the right to disqualify any vendor on the grounds of actual or apparent conflict of interest.

(8) All employees assigned to the project are authorized to work in this country.

(9) The company has a written equal opportunity policy that does not discriminate in employment practices with regard to race, color, national origin, physical condition, creed, religion, age, sex, marital status, sexual orientation, developmental disability or handicap.

(10) The company has a written policy regarding compliance for maintaining a drug-free workplace.

(11) Vendor understands and acknowledges that the representations within their proposal are material and important, and shall be relied on by the State in evaluation of the proposal. Any vendor misrepresentations shall be treated as fraudulent concealment from the State of the true facts relating to the proposal.

(12) Vendor shall certify that any and all subcontractors comply with Sections 7, 8, 9, and 10, above.

(13) The proposal shall be signed by the individual(s) legally authorized to bind the vendor per NRS 333.337.

EdBuild, Inc.

Vendor Company Name

Vendor Signature

Austin Ray

Print Name

10.2.2017

Date

Edbuild
Statement of Financial Position
As of June 30, 2016

	Total
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Bank Accounts	
1000 Cash	
1010 Business Checking (9346)	314,690.54
1011 Business Checking (2701)	44,547.27
1070 Business Savings (8393)	224,912.49
Total 1000 Cash	**$ 584,150.30**
Total Bank Accounts	**$ 584,150.30**
Accounts Receivable	
1200 Contributions receivable	
1210 Pledges receivable	430,000.00
1211 Contract revenue receivable	0.00
Total 1200 Contributions receivable	**$ 430,000.00**
Total Accounts Receivable	**$ 430,000.00**
Other Current Assets	
1400 Other Assets	
1450 Prepaid expenses	7,749.31
1451 Security Deposit	15,950.00
Total 1400 Other Assets	**$ 23,699.31**
DO NOT USE - Uncategorized Asset	0.00
Undeposited Funds	0.00
Total Other Current Assets	**$ 23,699.31**
Total Current Assets	**$ 1,037,849.61**
TOTAL ASSETS	**$ 1,037,849.61**
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	
2000 Payables	
2010 Accounts Payable	13,473.70
Total 2000 Payables	**$ 13,473.70**
Total Accounts Payable	**$ 13,473.70**
Other Current Liabilities	
2100 Accrued liabilities	
2120 Accrued paid leave	35,103.52
Total 2100 Accrued liabilities	**$ 35,103.52**
2500 Short-term notes & loans payable	
2510 Trustee & employee loans payable	0.00
Total 2500 Short-term notes & loans payable	**$ 0.00**
Total Other Current Liabilities	**$ 35,103.52**
Total Current Liabilities	**$ 48,577.22**
Total Liabilities	**$ 48,577.22**

Equity		
3000 Unrestricted Net Assets		
3010 Unrestricted net assets		188,062.98
Total 3000 Unrestricted Net Assets	**$**	**188,062.98**
3100 Temporarily restricted net assets		
3110 Use restricted net assets		537,893.60
3120 Time restricted net assets		400,000.00
Total 3100 Temporarily restricted net assets	**$**	**937,893.60**
Net Revenue Reclass		0.00
Net Revenue		-136,684.19
Total Equity	**$**	**989,272.39**
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	**$**	**1,037,849.61**

Wednesday, Oct 04, 2017 09:50:22 AM GMT-7 - Accrual Basis

Edbuild
Statement of Activity
July 2015 - June 2016

		Total
Revenue		
4200 Revenue from non-government grants		
4230 Foundation/trust grants		1,333,600.00
Total 4200 Revenue from non-government grants	**$**	**1,333,600.00**
4900 Net assets released from restriction		
4910 Satisfaction of use restriction		0.00
Total 4900 Net assets released from restriction	**$**	**0.00**
5100 Revenue from program-related sales & fees		
5180 Program service fees		55,000.00
Total 5100 Revenue from program-related sales & fees	**$**	**55,000.00**
5300 Revenue from investments		
5310 Interest-savings / short-term investments		516.81
Total 5300 Revenue from investments	**$**	**516.81**
Total Revenue	**$**	**1,389,116.81**
Gross Profit	**$**	**1,389,116.81**
Expenditures		
7000 Grants, contracts, & direct assistance		
7010 Contracts - program-related		204,419.99
Total 7000 Grants, contracts, & direct assistance	**$**	**204,419.99**
7200 Salaries & related expenses		
7210 Officers & directors salaries		215,595.63
7220 Salaries & wages - other		710,911.95
7230 Pension plan contributions		37,793.06
7240 Employee benefits - not pension		59,434.01
7250 Payroll taxes, etc.		81,920.58
Total 7200 Salaries & related expenses	**$**	**1,105,655.23**
7500 Contract service expenses		
7520 Accounting fees		12,395.72
7530 Legal fees		2,910.00
7540 Professional fees - other		39,126.14
Total 7500 Contract service expenses	**$**	**54,431.86**
8100 Nonpersonnel expenses		
8110 Supplies		7,301.91
8130 Telephone & telecommunications		3,790.65
8140 Postage & shipping		463.62
8170 Printing & copying		3,929.30
8180 Books, subscriptions, references		6,678.01
Total 8100 Nonpersonnel expenses	**$**	**22,163.49**
8200 Facility & equipment expenses		
8210 Rent, parking, other occupancy		50,830.74
8220 Utilities		3,496.50

Total 8200 Facility & equipment expenses	**$**	**54,327.24**
8300 Travel & meetings expenses		0.00
8310 Travel		12,690.12
8320 Conferences, conventions, meetings		48,804.33
Total 8300 Travel & meetings expenses	**$**	**61,494.45**
8500 Other expenses		
8520 Insurance - non-employee related		4,083.31
8530 Membership dues - organization		4,547.52
8540 Staff development		5,513.19
8560 Outside computer services		8,749.72
8570 Advertising expenses		415.00
Total 8500 Other expenses	**$**	**23,308.74**
Total Expenditures	**$**	**1,525,801.00**
Net Operating Revenue	**-$**	**136,684.19**
Net Revenue	**-$**	**136,684.19**

Edbuild
Statement of Financial Position
As of June 30, 2017

	Total
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Bank Accounts	
1000 Cash	
1010 Business Checking (9346)	239,437.90
1011 Business Checking (2701)	0.00
1070 Business Savings (8393)	300,539.87
Total 1000 Cash	**$ 539,977.77**
Total Bank Accounts	**$ 539,977.77**
Accounts Receivable	
1200 Contributions receivable	
1210 Pledges receivable	837,379.00
1211 Contract revenue receivable	0.00
Total 1200 Contributions receivable	**$ 837,379.00**
Total Accounts Receivable	**$ 837,379.00**
Other Current Assets	
1400 Other Assets	
1450 Prepaid expenses	13,570.69
1451 Security Deposit	13,200.00
Total 1400 Other Assets	**$ 26,770.69**
DO NOT USE - Uncategorized Asset	0.00
Undeposited Funds	0.00
Total Other Current Assets	**$ 26,770.69**
Total Current Assets	**$ 1,404,127.46**
TOTAL ASSETS	**$ 1,404,127.46**
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	
2000 Payables	
2010 Accounts Payable	6,500.00
Total 2000 Payables	**$ 6,500.00**
Total Accounts Payable	**$ 6,500.00**
Other Current Liabilities	
2100 Accrued liabilities	
2120 Accrued paid leave	46,249.98
Total 2100 Accrued liabilities	**$ 46,249.98**
2500 Short-term notes & loans payable	
2510 Trustee & employee loans payable	0.00
Total 2500 Short-term notes & loans payable	**$ 0.00**
Total Other Current Liabilities	**$ 46,249.98**

Total Current Liabilities	**$**	**52,749.98**
Total Liabilities	**$**	**52,749.98**
Equity		
3000 Unrestricted Net Assets		
3010 Unrestricted net assets		-108,273.61
Total 3000 Unrestricted Net Assets	**-$**	**108,273.61**
3100 Temporarily restricted net assets		
3110 Use restricted net assets		1,130,958.50
3120 Time restricted net assets		103,271.69
Total 3100 Temporarily restricted net assets	**$**	**1,234,230.19**
Net Revenue Reclass		-136,684.19
Net Revenue		362,105.09
Total Equity	**$**	**1,351,377.48**
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	**$**	**1,404,127.46**

Wednesday, Oct 04, 2017 09:54:43 AM GMT-7 - Accrual Basis

Edbuild
Statement of Activity
July 2016 - June 2017

		Total
Revenue		
4000 Revenue from direct contributions		5.00
4010 Individual/small business contributions		23.22
Total 4000 Revenue from direct contributions	**$**	**28.22**
4200 Revenue from non-government grants		
4230 Foundation/trust grants		1,685,689.00
Total 4200 Revenue from non-government grants	**$**	**1,685,689.00**
5100 Revenue from program-related sales & fees		
5180 Program service fees		125,000.00
Total 5100 Revenue from program-related sales & fees	**$**	**125,000.00**
5300 Revenue from investments		
5310 Interest-savings / short-term investments		442.45
Total 5300 Revenue from investments	**$**	**442.45**
Total Revenue	**$**	**1,811,159.67**
Gross Profit	**$**	**1,811,159.67**
Expenditures		
7000 Grants, contracts, & direct assistance		
7010 Contracts - program-related		124,789.33
Total 7000 Grants, contracts, & direct assistance	**$**	**124,789.33**
7200 Salaries & related expenses		
7210 Officers & directors salaries		213,197.06
7220 Salaries & wages - other		685,256.86
7230 Pension plan contributions		32,232.28
7240 Employee benefits - not pension		68,315.61
7250 Payroll taxes, etc.		77,316.55
Total 7200 Salaries & related expenses	**$**	**1,076,318.36**
7500 Contract service expenses		
7520 Accounting fees		23,557.75
7530 Legal fees		500.00
7540 Professional fees - other		32,353.46
Total 7500 Contract service expenses	**$**	**56,411.21**
8100 Nonpersonnel expenses		
8110 Supplies		8,275.23
8130 Telephone & telecommunications		3,433.97
8140 Postage & shipping		295.84
8170 Printing & copying		4,879.51
8180 Books, subscriptions, references		7,603.47
Total 8100 Nonpersonnel expenses	**$**	**24,488.02**
8200 Facility & equipment expenses		
8210 Rent, parking, other occupancy		59,110.13
8220 Utilities		2,447.25

8260 Equipment rental & maintenance		3,873.48
Total 8200 Facility & equipment expenses	**$**	**65,430.86**
8300 Travel & meetings expenses		
8310 Travel		40,900.91
8320 Conferences, conventions, meetings		40,116.56
Total 8300 Travel & meetings expenses	**$**	**81,017.47**
8500 Other expenses		
8520 Insurance - non-employee related		5,253.49
8530 Membership dues - organization		892.48
8540 Staff development		4,259.99
8560 Outside computer services		8,403.37
8570 Advertising expenses		1,790.00
Total 8500 Other expenses	**$**	**20,599.33**
Total Expenditures	**$**	**1,449,054.58**
Net Operating Revenue	**$**	**362,105.09**
Net Revenue	**$**	**362,105.09**