State of Nevada
Department of Administration
Purchasing Division
515 E. Musser Street, Suite 300
Carson City, NV 89701

Brian Sandoval
Governor

Jeffrey Haag
Administrator

SUBJECT:	Amendment 1 to Request for Proposal 3273
RFP TITLE:	Body Worn Cameras
DATE OF AMENDMENT:	September 14, 2016
DATE OF RFP RELEASE:	August 24, 2016
OPENING DATE:	September 28, 2016
OPENING TIME:	2:00 PM
CONTACT:	Ronda Miller, Procurement Staff Member

The following shall be a part of RFP ***3273.*** If a vendor has already returned a proposal and any of the information provided below changes that proposal, please submit the changes along with this amendment. You need not re-submit an entire proposal prior to the opening date and time.

---

1. What is the initial contract term for the project? Are there renewal options available?

   Per section 1.1.6 the initial term of contract will be 2 years, with an option of a 2 year extension.

2. How much budget was allotted for the project?

   $1,260,106 per Senate Bill 111.

3. Has the Department determined a funding source for this effort? If so, please indicate which source will be used.

   Yes, State Highway Fund.

4. Please provide the names of the vendors who provided information for RFI #NHP15-01.

   - **Digital Ally**
   - **L3 Mobile-Vision**
   - **Millenium**
   - **Panasonic**
   - **Point Blank**
   - **Presidio**
   - **Pro-Vision**
   - **Taser**
   - **Utility**
   - **VieVu**
   - **WatchGuard**

5. Section 1.1.6 states "This contract is anticipated to begin December 13, 2016 for a term of two (2) years, with the option of extension for an additional two (2) years." However, within the warranty section 3.5.1.5 it is requested as an option for an additional 4 years beyond first 12 months for maintenance and warranty. Can you please clarify the preferred contract and warranty options?

The project is anticipated to be completed by 6/30/2017. Ongoing costs, including extended hardware warranties and software maintenance necessary to cover the equipment through a two (2) year period from the date the contract is approved, should be itemized and included in RFP cost responses. If the hardware useful life is three (3) years, costs to cover the equipment for three (3) years (1st 12 months + 2 additional years) should be included but separately identified.

6. Attachment K video system specification 3.12.2 states, "Color LED monitor with audio." Can the MDT (computer in the vehicle) function as the display for the in-car video system?

DPS/HPD's long term goal is to utilize the MDC to fully control the In-Car Video system in efforts to reduce the amount of equipment in the patrol car. Currently out of the 465 potential users, we have 185 MDC's deployed and an additional 160 scheduled for early 2017. Until we are fully deployed with MDC's, a "Color monitor with audio" must be an available option.

7. Attachment K, 3.12.2 - Can the GPS coordinates be provided by an external router or other device? If NHP has GPS in their vehicles currently, would it be acceptable to get a GPS signal from an existing device rather than adding a separate GPS device and antenna?

Yes. Currently, DPS/HDP utilizes two modems both made by Feeney Wireless. The first is a Cira X2 GX440 which is in the process of being phased out and the second is a Skyus-X modem. It would be acceptable and preferable to get a GPS signal from our existing devices to minimize the amount of antenna's, as long as all equipment can be shown to be compatible. Partnerships may have to be developed between parties before the sharing of equipment and testing can be accomplished. Vendors would have to work this all out.

8. Attachment K, 3.12.4 states "The DVR shall be capable of recording two video inputs from a powerful zoom, forward-facing camera, and a wide-angle rear-facing camera." Would NHP be willing to accept an HD forward-facing non-zoom camera that provides high enough resolution for video to be enlarged and enhanced after the fact? Traditional in-car video systems that utilize SD cameras require zoom capability to clearly identify objects at distances greater than that of a typical traffic stop, but HD video greatly improves this capability.

Yes, DPS/HPD would be willing to accept this term.

9. Attachment K, 3.12.4 states "The DVR shall require a security feature (key, admin software rights) to prevent unauthorized users from accessing the on-screen menus to adjust video settings and perform file management functions." Would NHP be willing to accept a system that provided an administratively controlled central configuration management utility as part of the Digital Evidence Management System, which pushes configuration changes wirelessly over cellular or Wi-Fi to the in-car camera system rather than a system that required changes to be made at each DVR?

Yes, DPS/HPD would be willing to accept this term.

10. Attachment K, 3.12.6 refers to an LCD Monitor. Can the MDT (computer in the vehicle) function as the display for the in-car video system?

DPS/HPD's long term goal is to utilize the MDC to fully control the In-Car Video system in efforts to reduce the amount of equipment in the patrol car. Currently out of the 465 potential users, we have 185 MDC's deployed and an additional 160 scheduled for early 2017. Until we are fully deployed with MDC's, a "Color monitor with audio" must be an available option.

11. Attachment K, 3.12.10 refers to a Video Management System in an on-premise server environment. Would NHP consider a central agency-wide cloud based Digital Evidence Management System that provides additional functionality and security such as the ability to digitally share evidence with an audit trail, the ability to manage any type of digital evidence, perform redaction of video and audio, geographic redundance for all data, as well as other features?

Yes. As per section 3.8.10, DPS/HPD is open to a server based, cloud based or a hybrid solution. 3.12.10 refers to the requirements to be met if a server based solution is proposed.

12. RFP Reference page 10, paragraph 3.2.1.4 - What is meant by a schematic rendering? Can the State provide clarification on what they are expecting to receive in response to this requirement?

Vendors to provide a system diagram, a visual model of the proposed system.

13. RFP Reference page 12, paragraph 3.5.1.5 - Most body worn cameras have a useful life of three years or less. Should vendors include the cost of a camera refresh in the price of the four year extended warranty?

The costs for the body camera hardware refresh / replacement should be itemized separately and supported by a replacement cost schedule under ongoing costs. Since these costs would fall outside of initial project expected to be completed by 6/30/2017, as well as the initial two (2) year contract, vendors should provide a hardware replacement schedule for camera and other hardware replacement required under ongoing costs consistent with their useful life. The State prefers that these costs be separately itemized from the four (4) year extended warranty.

14. RFP Reference page 13, paragraph 3.5.2.9 - Does this statement include hardware warranties and software maintenance, or is it only referring to software maintenance?

It is the intent of the State of pay for warranty and software maintenance in the period the service is incurred, however providing BWC hardware warranties consistent with the lifecycle of the proposed equipment is acceptable. Ongoing costs, for extended hardware warranties through the first two years or the contract should be included in RFP cost responses. If the hardware life is three (3) years, include the hardware warranty costs to cover the equipment for three (3) years (1st 12 months included + 2 additional years) in your response. As part of your response, provide expected the lifecycle for all video system components.

15. RFP Reference page 19, paragraph 3.11.3.6 - Can the State provide examples of automatic triggers, or desired functionality that would be acceptable to meet this specification?

DPS/HDP is interested in any standalone triggers that would activate the BWC without the assistance of the In-Car Video system. Examples include triggers based off a device in the vehicle or BWC that would activate the BWC with the light bar being turned on, the shotgun lock being released, a pre-designated speed being reached or an accelerometer to indicate a crash or foot pursuit just to name a few. Integration is a long term goal which won't be achieved right away, so we are open to any concept that helps reduce the human error aspect to activating the BWC, especially in high stress situations where the officer may forget or not have time to activate their BWC.

16. Can the State please identify how many shifts are operated per Precinct/Location on a 24-hour schedule? For example: 3x10hour shifts, 2x12hour shifts, etc.

Las Vegas and Reno are the only regional offices that operate 24 hours. The Elko regional office and 25 sub-stations operate on days and swing with a majority of the state being on 4x10 hour shifts. Refer to table 3.8.A and 3.8.B on pages 16, 17 and 18 of the RFP for further details of manpower.

17. During an "average" day, how many officers with cameras would be out on each individual shift?

Statewide, we estimate on average dayshift will have 105 offers per day, swing shift 105 officers per day and grave yard 27 officers per day. Refer to table 3.8.A and 3.8.B on pages 16, 17 and 18 of the RFP for additional details.

18. Can the State provide a list of Precincts/Locations?

Northern Command West:

Reno Regional Office
357 Hammill Lane
Reno, Nevada 89511

Carson City Sub-station
911 East Musser St.
Carson City, NV 89701

Fallon Sub-station
975 W. Williams Street
Fallon, NV 89406

Fernley Sub-station
770 W. Main Street
Fernley, NV 89408

Hawthorne Sub-station
1085 Highway 95, Suite C
Hawthorne, NV 89415

Incline Village/Tahoe Sub-station
625 Mount Rose Hwy
Incline Village, NV 89451-9111

Tonopah Sub-station
1137 S. Main St. Suite A4
Tonopah, NV 89049

Yerington Sub-station
215 West Bridge Street, #9
Yerington, NV 89447

Northern Command East

Elko Regional Office
3920 East Idaho Street
Elko, NV 89801

Austin Sub-station
184 Main St.
Austin, NV 89310

Battle Mountain Sub-station
101 Carson Road, Suite #6
Battle Mountain, NV 89820

Ely Sub-station
1200 Avenue "E"
Ely, NV 89315

Eureka Sub-station
790 South Monroe Street
Eureka, NV 89316

Jackpot Sub-station
1120 Snyder Way
Jackpot, NV 89825

Lovelock Sub-station
1155 Lovelock Ave
Lovelock, NV 89419

Wells Sub-station
1510 Lake Ave.
Wells, NV 89835

Wendover Sub-station
1111 Gene L Jones Way
West Wendover, NV 89803

Winnemucca Sub-station
3505 Construction Way
Winnemucca, NV 89445

Southern Command

Las Vegas Regional office
4615 West Sunset Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Alamo Sub-station
100 S. 1st W. Street
Alamo, NV 89801

Beatty Sub-station
211 Montgomery Street
Beatty, NV 89003

Moapa Sub-station
1501 State Highway 168
Moapa, NV 89025

Indian Springs Sub-station
851 McFarland
Indian Springs, NV 89018

Jean Sub-station
22680 Las Vegas South
Jean, NV 89019

Laughlin Sub-station
3650 South Pointe Circle, Suite 104B
Laughlin, NV 89029

Pahrump Sub-station
2250 East Postal Drive #6
Pahrump, Nevada 89048

Pioche Sub-station
1 Main Street
Pioche, NV 89043

19. Is it the intent of the State to have one central docking/download location or will the State be using multiple locations? Can the State provide the list of the location(s)?

Due to our geographical nature, we would require multiple locations as we have 3 regional offices and twenty-five substations throughout the state. Refer to question 18 for locations.

20. Can the State provide what the distance is from the server room to the download location(s)?

This information is challenging to provide as we have multiple locations, 3 regional offices and twenty-five substations throughout the state. With our current In-Car video system, we have 5 servers set up across the state. Reno, Carson City, Elko, Las Vegas and Winnemucca. Refer to question 18 for locations. All other substations have "Dummy" computers set up where video is uploaded to an external hard drive, which is later relayed to one of the main servers.

21. Can the State describe what the bandwidth and speed availability is between the download locations and main location they would like to store data?

This is dependent on the location where the video is uploaded. As described in section 3.8.1, a majority of our sub-stations are in rural communities and many locations have only 1.5 mbps of maximum available bandwidth. Other Urban locations have up to 600-800 Mbps of available bandwidth.

22. How many hours of video does the State expect to be collected during an average shift?

DPS/HPD anticipates each officer will collect approximately 2 hours of video per shift, per device with approximately 237 officers working each day, statewide.

23. How long does the State intend to retain the video collected?

Videos classified as "Non-Events" are retained for 30 days and all others are retained for 5 years, per our State retention schedule.

24. How does the State intend to store the video?

If DPS/HPD goes with a cloud based solution, it will be stored in the cloud for short and long term storage. If server based, all videos other than "Non-Events" will be archived to DVD or Blue Ray after 90 days.

25. Does the State require a backup solution?

Yes.

26. How long does the State intend to retain the video?

Videos classified as "Non-Events" are retained for 30 days and all others are retained for 5 years, per our State retention schedule.

ALL ELSE REMAINS THE SAME FOR RFP 3273.

Vendor must sign and return this amendment with proposal submitted.

Vendor Name: TASER International, Inc.

Authorized Signature:

Title: Josh Isner, EVP Global Sales Date: 9/16/2016

This document must be submitted in the "State Documents" section/tab of vendors' technical proposal.