Consensus Scoresheet

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4			Average
									weighted
Access2Care	1. Demonstrated Competence	25.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0			193.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	25.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0			181.3
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	5.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	8.0			33.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	15.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0			105.0
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	30.0	7.0	5.0	7.0	4.0			172.5
	6.Financial Stability (pass/fail)		p	p	p	p			
						Technical Ave			513.8
						Average score			686.3
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**			**Average**
									weighted
First Transit, Inc.	1. Demonstrated Competence	25.0	8.0	4.0	7.0	8.0			168.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	25.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	8.0			175.0
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	5.0	7.0	2.0	6.0	8.0			28.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	15.0	7.0	5.0	6.0	9.0			101.3
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	30.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	5.0			202.5
	6.Financial Stability (pass/fail)		p	p	p	p			
						Technical Ave			473.8
						Average score			676.3

Consensus Scoresheet

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4			Average
									weighted
Logisticare Solutions	1. Demonstrated Competence	25.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	9.0			212.5
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	25.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	10.0			212.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	5.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	9.0			38.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	15.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	10.0			123.8
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	30.0	8.0	6.0	9.0	8.0			232.5
	6.Financial Stability (pass/fail)		p	p	p	p			
						Technical Ave			587.5
						Average score			820.0
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**			**Average**
									weighted
Medical Transportation Management, Inc.	1. Demonstrated Competence	25.0	8.0	6.0	9.0	9.0			200.0
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	25.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	9.0			193.8
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	5.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	8.0			38.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	15.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0			112.5
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	30.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0			127.5
	6.Financial Stability (pass/fail)		f	f	f	f			
						Technical Ave			545.0
						Average score			672.5

Consensus Scoresheet

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4			Average
									weighted
TMS Management Group	1. Demonstrated Competence	25.0	6.0	1.0	7.0	5.0			118.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	25.0	7.0	4.0	6.0	1.0			112.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	5.0	7.0	2.0	6.0	4.0			23.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	15.0	7.0	5.0	7.0	8.0			101.3
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	30.0	6.0	5.0	7.0	8.0			195.0
	6.Financial Stability (pass/fail)		p	p	p	p			
						Technical Ave			356.3
						Average score			551.3
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**			**Average**
									weighted
Total Transit	1. Demonstrated Competence	25.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	4.0			118.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	25.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0			118.8
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	5.0	7.0	2.0	5.0	4.0			22.5
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	15.0	7.0	5.0	5.0	7.0			90.0
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	30.0	4.0	2.0	5.0	4.0			112.5
	6.Financial Stability (pass/fail)		p	p	p	p			
						Technical Ave			350.0
						Average score			462.5